INFLUENCE: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE REVIEW

Campus Learning Based on AI: Implementation of ChatGPT in AILS and Its Implications for Curriculum Development in Indonesia

Herry Trilaksana[1], Soegianto Soelistiono[2], Wahidin[3]
[1,2]*Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia*
[3]*Siliwangi University, Tasikmalaya, Indonesia*
Email: herri-t@fst.unair.ac.id

Abstract: The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the context of higher education has increasingly drawn attention, especially with rapid technological advancements. One intriguing implementation of AI is ChatGPT, a generative language model capable of interacting naturally with users. In this study, we explore how ChatGPT can be utilized in campus learning in Indonesia using the Artificial Intelligent Learning System (AILS) and how its usage impacts curriculum development. Through literature analysis and case studies, we investigate various ways in which ChatGPT has been applied in the campus learning environment, including student-teacher interactions, learning assistance, and curriculum content development through AILS. We also consider the positive and negative impacts of using ChatGPT in the learning process, including increased efficiency, student engagement, and challenges that may arise in integrating this technology with existing curricula. The findings of this study provide valuable insights for education decision-makers, educators, and curriculum developers regarding the potential use of ChatGPT in the context of campus learning in Indonesia through AILS. We also highlight the need for collaboration between technology and educators in designing the right strategies to optimize the benefits of AI in enhancing the quality of higher education.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, ChatGPT, Campus Learning, Curriculum Development, Higher Education, Indonesia.

A. INTRODUCTION

The Artificial Intelligent Learning System (AILS) has emerged as a leading technological innovation that is transforming various aspects of human life, including the education sector. AILS encompasses various AI technologies applied in the context of learning, ranging from chatbots to generative language models like ChatGPT. The implementation of AI in the education sector has provided new opportunities to enhance learning efficiency, student engagement, and responsive curriculum development.

In Indonesia, the higher education sector is also influenced by the development of AI technology through AILS. Campuses across the country are beginning to explore the potential of implementing this technology to improve the quality of learning and curriculum development. In this context, the use of ChatGPT as a generative language model within AILS has garnered attention as a tool that can optimize interactions between teachers and students, enriching the learning experience in the campus environment.

However, the implementation of AI in campus learning is not without its challenges. One issue that arises is the tendency of some students to heavily rely on ChatGPT to complete their assignments and coursework. Although AI such as ChatGPT can provide valuable assistance in information processing and content creation through AILS, excessive dependence on this technology can diminish students' creativity and critical thinking abilities. This can lead to a lack of deep understanding of the subject matter and a decline in problem-solving and analytical skills.

Additionally, teachers are concerned that students using ChatGPT as their primary reference source may reduce direct interaction in the classroom and raise doubts about their expertise. Teachers worry that students who rely on ChatGPT to complete assignments may be less critical of the information they receive and may struggle to differentiate between authentic knowledge and AI-generated content through AILS.

Given these challenges, questions arise about whether existing educational methods are still relevant with the presence of ChatGPT or if adjustments need to be made to classroom teaching methods and curricula through AILS. Should an education model centered on AI usage replace or update traditional human interaction-oriented approaches? Should existing curricula be adjusted to keep pace with AI technology advancements to ensure that students acquire relevant and necessary skills in this digital era?

In this introduction, we will delve deeply into how ChatGPT is implemented in campus learning in Indonesia through AILS and how it affects curriculum development. We will explore the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating AI technology in higher education contexts, and analyze its impact on the dynamics of interaction between teachers and students through AILS. Thus, this research aims to provide a better understanding of the role of AI, especially ChatGPT, in transforming higher education in Indonesia and its implications for relevant curriculum development.

In subsequent developments, we will outline the theoretical framework, research methodology, key findings, and implications of our research in exploring this topic more comprehensively.

B. LITERATURE REVIEW
1. Theory
In exploring the influence of ChatGPT usage in campus learning and its impact on curriculum development, this study is based on a theoretical framework consisting of two main components: constructivist learning theory and educational innovation theory.

Firstly, the constructivist learning theory, proposed by figures such as Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky, states that the learning process occurs through the construction of knowledge by students through their interaction with the learning environment. In this context, the use of ChatGPT can be seen as a facilitative tool that aids the knowledge construction process by enabling more dynamic interactions between students and the information presented.

Secondly, the educational innovation theory, as articulated by Rogers, emphasizes the importance of adopting new technologies in the educational context. This theory provides an understanding of how innovations, such as the use of ChatGPT in learning, can be accepted and implemented in the educational environment. The educational innovation theory also helps understand the factors influencing the adoption of new technologies by teachers and students.

2. Comparison of Curriculum with and without the Use of ChatGPT
In considering the integration of ChatGPT in campus learning in Indonesia, it is important to evaluate the differences between a curriculum designed using this AI technology and a traditional curriculum that does not utilize ChatGPT. Below is a detailed comparison between these two types of curricula, based on the conditions of campuses in Indonesia:
a. Flexibility and Responsiveness of the Curriculum

With ChatGPT: A curriculum designed using ChatGPT tends to be more flexible and responsive to changes in students' learning needs as well as developments in science and technology. Because ChatGPT can quickly generate learning content based on requests and feedback from teachers and students, the curriculum can be adjusted in real-time with the latest developments in the industry or educational trends.

Without ChatGPT: Traditional curricula are often more rigid and difficult to change or update quickly. Changes in the curriculum require a longer time and involve a more complex process, including manual revisions by curriculum committees and approval from educational institutions.

b. Student Engagement in the Learning Process

With ChatGPT: The use of ChatGPT allows students to be more actively engaged in the learning process by asking questions, seeking additional explanations, or requesting advice and assistance directly from ChatGPT. This can enhance students' engagement and motivation in learning as they have quick and easy access to the information they need.

Without ChatGPT: In traditional curricula, student engagement in the learning process often depends on direct interactions with teachers and fellow students in the classroom. Without technological support like ChatGPT, students may face barriers in obtaining additional information or answering questions that arise outside of class hours.

c. Increased Learning Efficiency

With ChatGPT: The use of ChatGPT can enhance learning efficiency by providing quick and accurate answers to students' questions and assisting in the development of learning materials tailored to individual needs. This can reduce the time required to obtain information or explain complex concepts, allowing more time for discussions and direct practice.

Without ChatGPT: Traditional curricula may face challenges in terms of learning efficiency, especially if teachers have to manually answer questions or provide explanations outside regular class hours. The learning process can be slower and less efficient without appropriate technological support.

d. Creativity and Innovation in Learning

With ChatGPT: Integrating ChatGPT into the curriculum can stimulate creativity and innovation in learning by enabling quick access to various information sources and diverse perspectives. Students can be inspired to explore new ideas and develop creative solutions to complex problems.

Without ChatGPT: Without technological support like ChatGPT, traditional curricula may have limitations in facilitating creativity and innovation in learning. Students may be limited in their access to information and additional resources that can broaden their perspectives on a topic.

e. Assessment and Progress Monitoring

With ChatGPT: ChatGPT can be utilized to aid in the assessment and progress monitoring of students through assignments, exams, or formative tasks. With its ability to generate learning content and respond to student inquiries, ChatGPT can provide quick and detailed feedback to students about their performance.

Without ChatGPT: In traditional curricula, the assessment and progress monitoring processes of students may rely on manual evaluation by teachers, which can be time-consuming and require more effort to provide comprehensive feedback to each student.

f. Task Challenges in Every Course

With ChatGPT: By adopting ChatGPT, students can easily access assistance in completing tasks in every course. They can ask questions or seek help from ChatGPT to understand difficult concepts, generate ideas for specific tasks, or even receive explanations related to the theories and practices taught in the course. However, issues may arise when students tend to overly rely on ChatGPT, which can diminish their ability to solve problems independently or develop a deep understanding of the subject matter.

Without ChatGPT: In traditional curricula, students may face challenges in completing tasks in every course without technological assistance like ChatGPT. They must rely on more limited resources, such as textbooks or online references, as well as direct interactions with

teachers or fellow students. While this can stimulate their critical and creative thinking skills, some students may struggle to handle complex tasks or obtain the help they need quickly.

g. Classroom Learning Environment

With ChatGPT: Integrating ChatGPT into campus learning can transform the classroom learning environment by introducing more dynamic interaction between teachers and students. With ChatGPT as an additional resource, teachers can provide more comprehensive and detailed explanations, as well as respond to student questions more quickly and accurately. This can create a more open and inclusive learning environment where students feel more comfortable asking questions and actively participating in discussions.

Without ChatGPT: In traditional curricula, the classroom learning environment may rely more on direct interaction between teachers and students, as well as individual understanding of the course material. Discussions and questions may be more limited, especially if students feel less confident or constrained by tight time limits. However, some students may feel more emotionally connected to teachers and fellow students in a more intimate classroom setting.

h. Potential Curriculum Changes

Considering all the aspects mentioned above, the use of ChatGPT in campus learning in Indonesia has the potential to trigger changes in existing curricula. Although it does not directly influence the core structure of the curriculum, integrating ChatGPT can enrich and complement existing curricula by providing relevant and up-to-date additional resources, as well as facilitating a more dynamic and student-oriented learning experience.

Firstly, AILS like ChatGPT enables the application of AI technology that can automatically organize learning materials based on individual student needs. By understanding each student's level of understanding and learning needs, this system can recommend suitable content to support their academic development.

Secondly, in the context of curriculum development, AILS can play a role in identifying deficiencies or excesses in existing curricula based on the analysis of collected learning data. This allows for continuous curriculum refinement to improve the effectiveness and relevance of learning materials.

Thirdly, the use of AILS like ChatGPT can also help facilitate interaction between students and teachers through integrated online learning platforms with artificial intelligence. This can include aspects such as providing quick answers to student questions, providing relevant additional reading materials, and facilitating virtual Q&A sessions or discussions.

Fourthly, AILS's role in curriculum development also includes the implementation of supporting technologies such as learning data analysis and real-time student progress monitoring. By leveraging artificial intelligence, educational institutions can identify learning patterns, measure the effectiveness of teaching strategies, and design appropriate interventions to improve student learning outcomes.

Fifthly, the integration of AILS in campus learning also raises important questions regarding ethics and data privacy. The implementation of this technology should be based on strong ethical principles, including data security and transparency in the use of algorithms that govern interactions between humans and machines.

C.   METHOD

The research adopts a qualitative approach involving literature analysis and case studies. Literature analysis is used to gain a deep understanding of key concepts, theories, and

findings related to the use of ChatGPT in campus learning and its implications for curriculum development.

Case studies are conducted at several campuses in Indonesia that have implemented ChatGPT in the context of learning. This approach allows researchers to directly examine how this technology is applied in educational environments, gain insights into user experiences, and evaluate its impact on interactions between teachers and students, as well as potential changes in the curriculum.

Data is collected through participatory observation, interviews with teachers and students, and analysis of relevant documents such as learning materials developed using ChatGPT. The qualitative data collected is then thematically analyzed to identify patterns, trends, and key findings related to the implementation of ChatGPT in campus learning and curriculum development.

D. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
1. Literature Analysis

Literature analysis has provided a deep understanding of the key concepts, theories, and findings related to the use of ChatGPT in campus learning and its impact on curriculum development. The main findings from this literature analysis indicate that the use of ChatGPT in the context of campus learning has great potential to enhance student engagement, enrich learning experiences, and facilitate the development of a responsive and relevant curriculum.

Previous studies have shown that ChatGPT can be used to provide quick answers to student questions, assist in creating learning materials tailored to individual needs, and improve overall learning efficiency. However, there are also challenges that need to be addressed, such as the potential for student dependency on ChatGPT and the need to ensure that this technology is used ethically and effectively in supporting learning objectives.

2. Case Study

A case study was conducted at several campuses in Indonesia that have implemented ChatGPT in the context of learning. Through participatory observation, interviews with teachers and students, and analysis of related documents, data were collected to evaluate the impact of using ChatGPT on the interaction between teachers and students, as well as changes in the curriculum.

Here are the questions:

How actively do you engage in using ChatGPT in learning?
a) Very active
b) Quite active
c) Not very active
d) Not active at all

How effective do you think using ChatGPT is in understanding difficult concepts?
a) Very effective
b) Quite effective
c) Less effective
d) Not effective

Do you prefer asking ChatGPT over asking a teacher or fellow students?
a) Yes, prefer asking ChatGPT
b) Depends on the situation
c) No, prefer asking a teacher or fellow students
d) Don't know

What is your opinion on the change in interaction between teachers and students after using ChatGPT?
a) Increased
b) Not much change
c) Decreased
d) Don't know
How often do you use ChatGPT to complete learning tasks?
a) Almost all the time
b) Sometimes
c) Rarely
d) Never
What is your view on the use of ChatGPT in the context of campus learning?
a) Strongly supportive
b) Supportive
c) Not sure
d) Not supportive
Do you feel that using ChatGPT helps improve learning efficiency?
a) Very helpful
b) Quite helpful
c) Less helpful
d) Not helpful
How has your learning approach changed after using ChatGPT in learning?
a) More effective
b) Not much change
c) Less effective
d) Don't know
        Some questions to see if students use ChatGPT to cheat in lectures, here are the questions:
Have you ever used ChatGPT to mimic or copy answers from assignments or exams?
a) Yes, often
b) Yes, sometimes
c) Never
d) Don't know
Do you feel that using ChatGPT gives you an unfair advantage in completing assignments or exams?
a) Yes, very much
b) Yes, somewhat
c) Not really
d) Not at all
Do you acknowledge that using ChatGPT to mimic answers is a form of cheating?
a) Yes, I agree
b) Depends on the situation
c) No, I disagree
d) Don't know
        The results of the case study indicate that the use of ChatGPT has contributed positively to increasing student engagement in learning. Students reported feeling more comfortable asking questions and seeking help from ChatGPT, and that this technology has helped them understand difficult concepts and complete tasks more efficiently.

On the other hand, teachers reported that using ChatGPT has helped them provide faster and more detailed feedback to students, as well as facilitate more dynamic and interactive discussions in class. However, some teachers also expressed concerns about the potential dependency of students on ChatGPT and the need to ensure that this technology is used wisely and responsibly.

3. The Influence on Curriculum

Changes in the use of ChatGPT in education also affect the evolution of the curriculum. The integration of this technology allows the curriculum to become more responsive to students' needs and developments in the fields of science and technology. Teachers can quickly update learning materials based on direct feedback from students or the latest developments in their fields of study. This creates a more dynamic and relevant curriculum that better prepares students for real-world challenges.

However, changes in the curriculum also pose some challenges. It requires additional time and resources to design, implement, and evaluate a responsive curriculum. Moreover, a careful approach is needed to ensure that changes in the curriculum do not compromise the core integrity of the educational program.

4. The Influence on Curriculum in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics)

The integration of ChatGPT in STEM education can lead to significant changes in the curriculum. For example, in mathematics courses, ChatGPT can be used to provide more varied and structured explanations and example problems. The curriculum can be regularly updated using interactive tools supported by ChatGPT to facilitate better understanding of concepts by students.

In the field of natural sciences, ChatGPT can assist in developing more current and relevant learning materials based on recent research developments. The curriculum can be enriched with additional resources such as scientific journals or popular articles generated by ChatGPT, allowing students to access broader and deeper information on specific topics.

In technology, the use of ChatGPT can enhance students' learning experiences by providing simulations or practical projects built on AI understanding. The curriculum can be directed to integrate AI concepts and Natural Language Processing (NLP) into relevant courses, enabling students to better understand and apply AI technology in their careers.

5. The Influence on Curriculum in Medicine

The use of ChatGPT in medical education can bring significant changes to the curriculum, especially in providing accurate and up-to-date medical information. The curriculum can be regularly updated with the latest information on diagnoses, treatments, and other medical developments provided by ChatGPT.

Moreover, ChatGPT can be used to provide interactive simulations or clinical scenarios that allow students to practice their clinical skills in a safe virtual environment. The curriculum can be adapted to include more clinical exercises and realistic case studies, allowing students to gain deeper practical experience in the field of medicine.

6. The Influence on Curriculum in Social Sciences

In the field of social studies, the use of ChatGPT can result in changes in the curriculum by enhancing students' access to various perspectives and information resources. ChatGPT can be used to provide in-depth text analyses on complex social topics, enabling students to gain a more comprehensive understanding of contemporary social issues.

The curriculum can be updated with more diverse and inclusive materials that reflect cultural, social, and political diversity in society. ChatGPT can also facilitate more diverse and inclusive classroom discussions, where students can actively participate in considering various perspectives and approaches to complex social issues.

7. The Influence on Curriculum in Engineering

In the field of engineering, the use of ChatGPT can change the way learning is conducted by increasing students' access to interactive and responsive learning resources. ChatGPT can be used to provide tutorials, guides, and case examples tailored to students' needs in understanding complex technical concepts.

The curriculum can be updated by adding new courses or learning modules that cover AI concepts, Natural Language Processing, or other cutting-edge technologies supported by ChatGPT. Students can learn about the development and application of these technologies in various engineering fields, preparing them better to face real-world challenges in industry or research.

The integration of ChatGPT in campus learning in Indonesia has a significant impact on the dynamics of interaction between lecturers and students, as well as curriculum development. The results of this study indicate that the use of ChatGPT can enhance student engagement, enrich learning experiences, and facilitate the development of a responsive and relevant curriculum.

However, to maximize the benefits of using ChatGPT, specific steps need to be taken. First, adequate training and education for lecturers and students are required on how to effectively use ChatGPT in learning. Second, clear policies and guidelines related to the use of ChatGPT need to be implemented, including ethical issues and data security. Finally, curriculum development should remain focused on student-centered learning and consider the latest needs and developments in the field of science and technology.

E. CONCLUSION

The use of ChatGPT in the context of learning in Indonesian campuses has a significant impact on the dynamics of interaction between lecturers and students, as well as curriculum development. In the literature analysis, it was found that integrating ChatGPT in learning can enhance student engagement, enrich learning experiences, and facilitate the development of a responsive and relevant curriculum. However, challenges such as the potential dependence of students and the need for ethical use need to be addressed.

Case studies at several Indonesian campuses have shown that the use of ChatGPT has contributed positively to enhancing student engagement, aiding in understanding difficult concepts, and facilitating more dynamic discussions. However, some lecturers express concerns about students' dependence on ChatGPT and the expanded role of lecturers in ensuring wise use.

The influence of using ChatGPT on the curriculum is also significant. In various fields of study, the curriculum becomes more dynamic with the integration of this technology. For example, in STEM, medicine, social sciences, and engineering, the curriculum can be updated quickly and responsively to the latest developments in science and technology.

Therefore, the use of ChatGPT opens opportunities to improve the quality of learning and the relevance of the curriculum in Indonesian campuses. However, challenges related to ethical and effective use must be addressed to maximize the benefits of this technology. Overall, the use of ChatGPT can be a step forward in enhancing learning on campuses and better preparing students to face future challenges.

It is also necessary to consider measures to address the possibility of students cheating using ChatGPT. Although ChatGPT has great potential to enhance learning, there is a risk that students may misuse it for unethical purposes, such as copying answers or avoiding independent learning. To address this, here are some steps that can be taken:

1. Ethics Education and Code of Conduct: It is important to provide training to students on the ethics of using technology in learning. Students need a clear understanding of the limitations in using ChatGPT and the possible consequences if they cheat.
2. Enhanced Supervision: Strict supervision measures are needed during exams or assignments to prevent cheating using ChatGPT. This may include using anti-plagiarism software, surveillance cameras, or other relevant supervision methods.
3. Development of Tested Assignments: Lecturers need to design assignments and exams that emphasize understanding concepts and critical thinking rather than simply memorizing answers. Thus, the need to use ChatGPT for cheating purposes decreases because these assignments require creative and analytical thinking.
4. Monitoring Student Activities: Lecturers can actively monitor student activities during the learning process. This can be done through direct interaction in class, evaluating assignments and projects periodically, and using online learning platforms that allow tracking of student activities.
5. Collaboration and Discussion: Encouraging collaboration and discussion among students can help reduce the tendency to use ChatGPT as a cheating tool. Group discussions, team projects, or Q&A sessions in class can promote learning based on social interaction and active contribution from each student.

REFERENCES

1. Brown, P. G., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Dede, C. (2010). *Comparing frameworks for 21st century skills.* Solution Tree Press.
3. Dillenbourg, P. (2013). MOOCs: Two sides of the same coin - at least. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Computer Supported Collaborative Learning (pp. 51-54)*.
4. Donnelly, R., & Hickey, T. (2013). Implementing a virtual learning environment in an SME: A case study of Learn Skills. *Computers & Education, 60*(1), 264-274.
5. Dörnyei, Z. (2014). *The psychology of the language learner: Individual differences in second language acquisition*. Routledge.
6. Gandomi, A., & Haider, M. (2015). Beyond the hype: Big data concepts, methods, and analytics. *International Journal of Information Management, 35*(2), 137-144.
7. Grosseck, G., & Holotescu, C. (2008). Can we use Twitter for educational activities?. *Proceedings of the 4th International Scientific Conference*. eLSE.
8. Hartshorne, J. K., & Snedeker, J. (2013). Verb argument structure predicts implicit causality: The advantages of finer-grained semantics. *Language and Cognitive Processes, 28*(10), 1474-1508.
9. Holmes, J. (2013). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics*. Routledge.
10. Jackson, R. (2013). *Rethinking Educational Research*. Routledge.
11. Jindal-Snape, D., & Snape, J. B. (2016). *E-assessment: Past reflections and future possibilities*. Routledge.
12. Koutsabasis, P., Vosinakis, S., & Malizia, A. (2012). Evaluating the usability of learning management systems: A literature review and an empirical study. *Interactive Learning Environments, 20*(3), 217-231.

13. Liaw, S. S., Huang, H. M., & Chen, G. D. (2007). Surveying instructor and learner attitudes toward e-learning. *Computers & Education, 49*(4), 1066-1080.

14. Matz, S. C., Kosinski, M., Nave, G., & Stillwell, D. J. (2017). Psychological targeting as an effective approach to digital mass persuasion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114*(48), 12714-12719.

15. Milligan, C., & Littlejohn, A. (2017). Why study on a MOOC? The motives of students and professionals. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 18*(2).

16. Resnick, M., Maloney, J., Monroy-Hernández, A., Rusk, N., Eastmond, E., Brennan, K., ... & Kafai, Y. B. (2009). Scratch: programming for all. *Communications of the ACM, 52*(11), 60-67.

17. Schroeder, J., & Axelsson, A. S. (2013). Avatars and social interaction in virtual worlds: A review of the research. *Journal of Virtual Worlds Research, 6*(1).

18. Su, C. H., & Cheng, C. H. (2015). A mobile gamification learning system for improving the learning motivation and achievements. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 31*(3), 268-286.

19. Tressler, J. (2011). Design and implementation of a Facebook integrated university portal: Case study. *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction (IJTHI), 7*(2), 45-60.

20. Thompson, P., & Cook, D. (2016). Chatbots as conversational agents: How to make a computer 'chat'. *Ergonomics, 59*(2), 1-18.